



Women in Industrial Research

Edited by Renate Tobies and Annette B. Vogt

Wissenschaftsgeschichte

Wissenschaftskultur um 1900 – Band 8

Franz Steiner Verlag

Women in Industrial Research
Edited by Renate Tobies and Annette B. Vogt

WISSENSCHAFTSKULTUR UM 1900

herausgegeben von Olaf Breidbach

Wissenschaftlicher Beirat:

Mitchell G. Ash, Wien | Peter Bowler, Belfast | Horst Bredekamp, Berlin |
Rüdiger vom Bruch, Berlin | Gian Franco Frigo, Padua | Michael T. Ghiselin,
San Francisco | Zdene'k Neubauer, Prag | Federico Vercellone, Udine

Band 8

Women in Industrial Research

Edited by Renate Tobies and Annette B. Vogt

with the assistance of Valentine A. Pakis



Franz Steiner Verlag

Cover:

Researchers at the experimental laboratory of OSRAM's Factory A, in Berlin (1924).
Sitting in the middle: Dr. Iris Runge, with laboratory assistants sitting to her left and right. Standing (from left to right): Dr. Walter Heinze, Dr. Magdalene Hüninger, (unknown), Dr. Ilse Müller, Dipl.-Ing. H. Lutterbeck.

Source: [STB] 754, p. 7v

Bibliografische Information der Deutschen Nationalbibliothek:

Die Deutsche Nationalbibliothek verzeichnet diese Publikation in der Deutschen Nationalbibliografie; detaillierte bibliografische Daten sind im Internet über <http://dnb.d-nb.de> abrufbar.

Dieses Werk einschließlich aller seiner Teile ist urheberrechtlich geschützt.
Jede Verwertung außerhalb der engen Grenzen des Urheberrechtsgesetzes ist unzulässig und strafbar.

© Franz Steiner Verlag, Stuttgart 2014

Layout: Stefan Tobies

Druck: Laupp & Göbel GmbH, Nehren

Gedruckt auf säurefreiem, alterungsbeständigem Papier.

Printed in Germany.

ISBN 978-3-515-10670-2

FOREWORD

The contribution of women to the history of science is a significant theme, but it is only over the past decade that it has been structured in substantial depth. Initial approaches to this topic in the history of science were biographically oriented and exemplary. Of interest were the individual fates of prominent women and the suppression of their accomplishments by male colleagues, as happened in the case of Rosalind Franklin, whose findings had been crucial to elucidating the structure of DNA. What scholars sought were the historical circumstances encountered by the earliest women scientists such as Lise Meitner, and the way in which these circumstances had granted them, or refused them, access to the world of science. An Austrian of Jewish heritage, Meitner was endangered in 1938 while working at the Kaiser Wilhelm Institute (KWI) for Physical Chemistry in Berlin, and she fled from Germany to Sweden. After 1947 – having refused to work on the development of the atomic bomb, and thus having rejected job offers in the United States – she served as the director of the Department of Nuclear Physics at the Royal Institute of Technology in Stockholm and she won numerous awards. Unlike Otto Hahn, however, she did not win the Nobel Prize. From 1919 to 1937, after enduring a great deal of initial difficulties, the Frenchwoman Cécile Vogt worked as a department director at the Kaiser Wilhelm Institute for Neurology in Berlin, an institute that had been formed out of the neurobiological laboratory led by her husband Oskar Vogt, who was himself the director of the KWI. As a socialist, Oskar Vogt was pressured by the National Socialists to abandon his position in 1937, and thus at the end of the 1930s Cécile Vogt moved with her husband to the Black Forest, where the two of them founded a private institute for neurology. These individual fates demonstrate that it was only with great difficulty that women could attain and keep even second-tier academic positions.

How did the situation look outside of the academic realm? Since 1890, and particularly in Germany, there has been a massively expanding industrial sector that, in the fields of electrical engineering and chemistry especially, achieved its innovative potential by establishing in-house research departments. In 1883 in Berlin, the company AEG was founded as the German Edison-Society for Applied Electricity. Even before the First World War, this firm established itself as one of the largest armament manufacturers in the German-speaking world, second only to the Krupp Steel Works in Essen. Beginning in 1905, light bulbs were produced with Tungsten filaments, and as early as 1906 they bore the brand name OSRAM. During the first decades of the twentieth century, light bulbs became one of the most successful products of the electrical industry. The telegraph construction company Siemens & Halske, which had been founded as early as 1847, began as of 1880 to offer products to the postal and telegraph services in Germany, Luxembourg, and Switzerland. Siemens & Halske, which surpassed its competitors in

the telephone business by means of its own research and development, was able to establish in 1907 the first fully automated local operating system and, in 1913 and 1914, the company completed and installed the first trans-regional telephone cable between Berlin, the Rhineland, and the Ruhr District. Things developed analogously in the chemical industry. In the 1880s, the Chemical Company BASF (Baden Aniline and Soda Factory) built its own representative laboratory. The companies Bayerwerke, Farbwerke Hoechst, Leopold Cassela & Co., and Agfa operated in a similar manner. The latter firm, which was created in 1873 out of a merger of earlier companies as the Stock Corporation for Aniline Fabrication (*Actien-Gesellschaft für Anilin-Fabrication*), adopted the name Agfa in 1897 as a trademark for “chemical compounds for photographic purposes.”

How did women establish themselves in the scientific departments of these industrial workplaces? Until now we have known little about female laboratory assistants and their possible career paths. We have known little, too, about the women who were active as scientists in the rapidly expanding industrial sectors of the early twentieth century. How were traditions from small manufacturing plants transmitted to large-scale industry, where even modestly trained experimenters initially forged careers and where specific training profiles first had to be established in the fields of engineering and the natural sciences? Did women achieve access to these spheres of activity or did the developments of the time displace them from the fields of work that had hitherto been available to them? It remains to be asked, too, how roles were changed under the fluctuating social conditions around the beginning of the First World War, to what extent women were thereby assigned new areas of activity, and how and whether they were able to establish themselves in such fields for the long term.

By now we still know far too little about the contributions of women to the academic world, in the strict sense, and about the cultural environment of academia itself. Even less is known, however, about the role of women in the fields of trade and industry and thus about the fields in which the new natural sciences were being applied. How, perhaps, were such circumstances affected early on by the situation of war in 1870 and 1871? To what extent were professional prospects altered in the wake of such events? To what extent were developments consolidated that had at first originated as emergency measures?

The biographical explorations presented in this volume provide initial answers to such questions. The book investigates the work of women in non-academic positions, positions that opened new spheres of activities for them while also, however, limiting their potential again and again. The individual studies demonstrate the extent to which these were “women-specific” limitations, the extent to which specific fields of activity were perhaps also made available to women, and how women were able to integrate non-academic and academic work. The book describes careers that unfolded in this intermediate space, that of an ever expanding and academically oriented industrial sector. Based on detailed source studies, the book provides not only initial answers, but it also allows for the entwinement of academic and industrial careers to be traced with respect to their availability to women researchers during the late-nineteenth and twentieth centuries.

This volume describes the relationships that existed between industry and academic institutions such as the Kaiser Wilhelm Society and the universities. It showcases individual careers in the realm of industry and the particular niches in which women were active. In the case of the Carl Zeiss Corporation in Jena, it also illustrates the total potential that women could achieve at a research-oriented enterprise toward the end of the twentieth century. The career paths outlined here should provide the foundation for and encourage further in-depth analysis of the presence of “women in industrial research.”

Olaf Breidbach – Jena (October 2013)

CONTENTS

Foreword	v
List of Tables	xi
List of Figures	xi
Editors' Preface	xiii
Introduction: Women Researchers in Industrial Laboratories: Trends and Perspectives	
Renate Tobies and Annette B. Vogt	1
Part I: Links between Academic and Non-Academic Professions	
Renate Tobies, Annette B. Vogt, and Brenda Winnewisser	25
1 Women Scientists with Different Laboratory Practices: Transitioning from the Kaiser Wilhelm Society to Industrial Laboratories, and Vice Versa	
Annette B. Vogt	27
2 Collaboration and Competition between Academia and Industry: Hedwig Kohn and OSRAM, 1916–1938	
Brenda Winnewisser	45
3 The Background and Career of Angeliki Panagiotatou: The First Female Physician in Greece to Hold a Ph.D.	
Poly Giannakopoulou	59
Part II: The Electrical Engineering Industry	
Renate Tobies	73
4 Lillian Gilbreth and Irene Witte – Women of Efficiency	
Herbert Mehrrens	77
5 Female Scientists in German Electrical Engineering Corporations and Their Patronage Relationships	
Renate Tobies	87
6 From the German Electrical Engineering Industry to the United States: The Case of Cecilie Froehlich	
Renate Tobies	103

Part III: The Chemical, Cosmetics, and Nuclear Industries

Jeffrey A. Johnson, Maria Rentetzi, and Renate Tobies 115

7 Women in the Chemical Industry in the First Half of the 20th Century

Jeffrey A. Johnson 119

8 Creating a Niche for Women in the Cosmetics Industry

Maria Rentetzi 145

9 Dora J. Leipunskaya

and the Contributions of Women to the Nuclear Industry

Peter Bussemer 159

Part IV: Optical Companies and Institutions for Applied Optics

Renate Tobies 179

10 Women Academics and Industrial Researchers
in Thuringia during the Early Twentieth Century

Renate Tobies 183

11 Maria F. Romanova and Her Research
on Applied Optics in Russia and Germany

Peter Bussemer 201

12 Female Employees at Carl Zeiss-Jena during the 1960s and 1970s

Katharina Schreiner 213

13 Designing Planetariums for the Carl Zeiss Corporation:
An Architect Tells Her Story

Gertrud Schille 229

Index of Names 245

Notes on Contributors 255

LIST OF TABLES

Table Number	Title	Page
1.1	Women with a Doctorate in Physics from the University of Berlin	31
1.2	Female Physicists from Berlin at Industrial Corporations	31
1.3	Women with a Doctorate in Chemistry	32
1.4	Female Chemists from Berlin at Industrial Corporations	33
1.5	Women Scientists between Industry and the Kaiser Wilhelm Society	37
10.1	Women's Dissertations in Physics and Mathematics at the University of Jena (1925–1945)	188
12.1	An Overview of Female Employment Rates at Zeiss-Jena in 1977	217

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure Number	Title	Page
2.1	The German physicists Hermann Senftleben, Hedwig Kohn, and Elizabeth Benedict, quantifying sunlight in the Riesengebirge, summer 1918	46
2.2	Last page of a transcript of the last letter from Hedwig Kohn to Alfred R. Meyer, dated June 5, 1917	49
2.3	OSRAM advertisement	53
2.4	Title page of a lab “newspaper” celebrating a new Breslau physics Ph.D	53
3.1	Greek female physicians: Polymnia Panagiotidou, Ioanna Stephanopoli, Anthi Vasiliadou, and Thiresia Roka.	64
3.2	The Greek physician Angeliki and her sister Alexandra Panagiotatou	65
3.3	Angeliki Panagiotatou	67
4.0	Researchers at the experimental laboratory of OSRAM's Factory A, in Berlin	76
4.1	Lillian Moller Gilbreth	79
5.1	Ilse Müller, German chemist at OSRAM, 1929	96
5.2	Magdalene Hüniger, German chemist at OSRAM, 1929	98
6.1	The German-American applied mathematician Cecilie Froehlich and Students at City College New York, 1955	108
7.1	Emma Wolffhardt, German chemist at BASF, in March 1944	118
8.1	Florence Wall, American chemist and representative of cosmetology at New York World's Fair 1939–1940 (New York Public Library Reproduction, Image ID: 1668719).	154
9.1	The Russian nuclear physicist Dora Leipunskaya as a student, 1934	165
10.1	Members of the University Observatory in Jena, Germany (1930)	182
10.2	A Christmas Party at the Abbeum, University of Jena, in 1928	189
10.3	Marga Faulstich, German chemist at the SCHOTT Corporation in Mainz, Germany	197

11.1	Maria Romanova, Russian physicist in applied optics	209
12.1	The Title Page of <i>Carl Zeiss im Bild international</i> , 2013, Issue 1 (Carl Zeiss Archive)	226
13.1	The planetarium in Tripoli – proposal and layout (courtesy of the author)	238
13.2	The planetarium in Wolfsburg – original proposal (courtesy of the author)	240
13.3	The planetarium in Wolfsburg – cross section of the revised plan (courtesy of the author)	242
13.4	The planetarium in Berlin – project meeting in Berlin with city architects, urban planners, and architects from the Ministry of Culture (courtesy of the author, who is pictured standing on the left)	243

EDITORS' PREFACE

In many industrialized nations, the stereotype persists that mathematics, science, and technology are unsuitable subjects for women, and that industrial laboratories and other non-academic professional workplaces are inappropriate for them. The dominant conception of a “scientist” remains male. Women scientists in top positions are still a minority today, and this is even more the case in industrial laboratories and other non-academic scientific settings. Looking back in time, however, we find a number of women scientists who were active in academia and beyond; many of them worked in industrial research laboratories and contributed significantly to their scientific and technological fields. Our intention here is to expand this area of investigation by examining the place and role of women in industrial research and other professional arenas in light of new sources and important documents. Moreover, we intend to compare the opportunities available to women across several professions and institutions. The book focuses especially on the approximate period between 1900 and the 1960s. This was an era of political upheavals and historically momentous events – the two World Wars, the Nazi regime in Germany and in the occupied countries, the difficult conditions for émigrés who tried to escape Nazi persecution, and a divided Germany after the Second World War. The political circumstances were always and everywhere a factor that influenced the situation for women, from their educational opportunities to their access to higher positions within academic and non-academic research units.

Again, this book presents new research results concerning women who conducted scientific work in industrial corporations during the first six or seven decades of the twentieth century. One of our goals was to discuss the conditions under which women were able to become successful industrial researchers, and with this in mind we investigated the positions of women in the chemical, cosmetic, nuclear, electrical engineering, communications, and optical industries. Attention has been paid to female researchers in the steel, aviation, and computer industries as well. Furthermore, our aim was to compare the opportunities of women in several academic disciplines, at various institutions, and in different countries. With a comparative and contextual approach, we examined the research process in non-university settings from the perspective of gender. Thus the contributions to this book address the following topics, among others: the development of local cultures in science and technology and the significance of gender in this process; the roles of both male and female scientific personae and their careers at different research laboratories and enterprises; and gender differences in research methods and approaches to scientific communication.¹

1 On the concept of scientific personae, see DASTON 2003; DASTON/SIBUM 2003 (see also the bibliography appended to our Introduction).

Historians of science have made some progress toward a deeper understanding of the role of various laboratory practices and instrument making (for instance, in chemistry and microbiology, in physics and technology) and the role of women scientists related to this development. Some of the findings presented here incorporate insights from the 24th International Congress of History of Science, Technology, and Medicine, which took place in Manchester in July of 2013, and we hope that this book can be regarded as a contribution to the newly established international project known as the “Women in Science Research Network.” We hope, moreover, to answer some open questions about the situation of women scientists in different industrial research units, and in doing so to rescue their careers, and the paths that led them there, from their previous invisibility.² Such was the general aim of all the contributors to this book.

For a broader understanding of historical developments, we have chosen to adopt an international comparative approach. We were able to invite authors from the United States, Germany, and Greece to contribute their insights concerning women scientists in industrial laboratories and other non-academic professional settings, and thus we have been able to present an ample picture of the field. Of course, it would be impossible to consider every international development in detail. That said, we were nevertheless able to identify several trends based on our own investigations and on recent scholarly literature; such trends are the subject of our introductory chapter.

Acknowledgments

The editors would like to thank, first and foremost, all the authors who kindly contributed to this book. We are grateful for their expertise and their generosity in sharing it. To Brenda Winnewisser we owe a special debt of gratitude, for in addition to contributing a chapter she also provided us with a great deal of valuable editorial advice. Our deep thanks are also due to Olaf Breidbach, the director of the Institute for History of Science, Medicine, and Technology at the University of Jena, for his willingness to include this book in the series *Wissenschaftskultur um 1900* and for writing his thoughtful foreword. From the publishing house itself, Katharina Stüdemann and Thomas Schaber deserve special mention for their pleasant assistance and collaboration.

Some of the authors and other colleagues participated in an international workshop that took place at the University of Jena in March of 2013. For the organization of the workshop and for the publication of the present book, financial support was made available through the visiting professorship program at the University of Jena. Such support would not have been possible without the initiative of the professor of physics Elke Wendler, who organized a visiting professorship, dedicated to the field of women in science, for Renate Tobies.

2 Regarding the “invisibility” of women in science, see ORESKES 1996.

For their collegiality, we are indebted to numerous colleagues and to staff members at several archives. We would like to thank Reinhard Siegmund-Schultze, a professor of the history of mathematics in Kristiansand, Norway, for his encouragement and for providing us with references to several sources. We are especially grateful for the permission granted by Ms. Anna Maria Elstner (née Runge) to use the papers left to her by her aunt, Iris Runge. Furthermore, our gratitude extends to the historian of physics Christian Forstner of the University of Jena, who included some of our research in his project *Physics and the Cold War*. Special thanks go to several former members of the research and calculating departments of the Carl Zeiss Corporation in Jena for supporting this project with references, discussion, and advice. In addition to Gertrud Schille and Katharina Schreiner, who contributed chapters to this volume, we would like to mention Bärbel Käpplinger, a former member of the Zeiss microscope-calculation department, and the professor of instrument design Manfred Steinbach, who had a long career at Zeiss and is now the president of the Association for the History of Technology in Jena (*Verein Technik-Geschichte in Jena e.V.*), where we were able to present some of our preliminary research findings. We thank Dr. Wolfgang Wimmer, head of the Carl Zeiss Archive in Jena, for his helpful collaboration and multifaceted support; the same thanks go to Margit Hartleb and Dr. Bauer (Archive of the Friedrich Schiller University of Jena), Dr. Sabine Happ (Archive of the University of Münster), Dr. Ulrich Hunger (Archive of the University of Göttingen), Karin Keller (Archive of the Martin Luther University of Halle-Wittenberg), Jörg Schmalfuß (Archive of the Deutsche Technik-Museum in Berlin), Dr. Winfried Schultze (Archive of the Humboldt University of Berlin), and to Prof. Dr. Eckart Henning, Dr. Lorenz Beck, and Dr. Marion Kazemi at the Archive of the Max Planck Society in Berlin. Finally, our gratitude extends to Valentine A. Pakis, who translated some of the chapters of this book from German into English and who improved the English of all the contributions submitted by non-native speakers.

Renate Tobies and Annette B. Vogt
Jena and Berlin – November 2013

INTRODUCTION

WOMEN RESEARCHERS IN INDUSTRIAL LABORATORIES: TRENDS AND PERSPECTIVES

Renate Tobies and Annette B. Vogt

Our primary aim in this introduction is to formulate a number of theses regarding the factors and parameters that determined the careers of women in industrial laboratories during the first decades of the twentieth century. These theses derive from our own long-standing investigations about female scientists and female researchers and their career paths,¹ on the chapters written by our colleagues in this book, and on the many discussions that this collaboration incited. Here we would like to describe the trends of this relatively new research field, to analyze its development, and to enumerate some of the overarching issues that have informed this book and that will prove relevant to further studies. The following theses can be thought of as a summary of *Women in Industrial Research*, and we hope that they might also serve to instigate discussions in the future.

Thesis 1

Approximately from the year 1900 onwards, equal access to education at all school and university levels and access to academic careers were preconditions that enabled women to join industrial research laboratories.

In countries where access to educational institutions, especially to universities, was less dependent on state regulations, some female scientists were able to obtain positions in engineering and industrial research laboratories. Based on international comparisons, Ilse Costas developed an explanatory model for women's access to *academic* careers.² For careers in *industrial laboratories*, our investigations have confirmed that the preconditions in these fields were similar. In the United States and Great Britain, for instance, women had (easier) access to uni-

1 See TOBIES 1997, 2006, 2008, 2013; VOGT 2000a, 2000b, 2007, 2008.

2 See COSTAS 2002, 2003.

versity educations somewhat earlier than in Germany, and thus a few female scientists were able to contribute to industrial firms there relatively earlier. Here we can mention, by way of example, Hertha Marks Ayrton, who in 1899 became the first female member of the British Institution of Electrical Engineers, and the American Edith Clarke, who began her career at the American Telephone and Telegraph Company in 1912. Both were able to develop important devices and won awards for their work (see the Introduction to Part II). The German-American Lillian Moller Gilbreth, moreover, is an interesting example of a women researcher who established her own successful company in the United States. Lillian and her husband, Frank Gilbreth, founded the Gilbreth Consulting Firm, which approached ergonomics and industrial efficiency on a scientific basis. Their studies helped to improve productivity at several corporations, and Lillian Gilbreth went on to work as a consultant for five American presidents. She also served as a role model for Irene M. Witte in Germany, a female expert in scientific management (see Chapter 4).³

It was often the case that foreign women scientists and researchers became role models for German women. This is also true regarding women's access to university studies. Women students from Russia, the United States, and Great Britain had opened the way for women students from Germany to study at German universities and to obtain university degrees. In fact, women students from abroad were the first women to receive doctoral degrees from German universities. The Russian Sofia Kovalevskaya earned a doctoral degree in mathematics at the University of Göttingen as early as 1874 (the first German woman did so in 1895); the Russian Julia Lermontova completed her doctorate in chemistry at the same university in the same year⁴; in 1895, the American Margaret E. Maltby became the first women to obtain a doctoral degree in physics at a German university, and she did so at the most famous international center of mathematics and science at the time, namely the University of Göttingen.⁵ Exceptional conditions and circumstances were required for these female students to earn doctoral degrees, for it was not until 1909 that all the federal states of Germany (beginning with Baden in 1900) officially opened their universities and technical universities to women students.⁶

3 See, for example Frank Bunker Gilbreth and Lillian Moller Gilbreth, *Applied Motion Study: A Collection of Papers On the Efficient Method to Industrial Preparedness* (New York: Sturgis & Walton, 1917), a German edition of which appeared in 1922. See also POKORNY 2003.

4 See TOLLMIEN 1997. On Kovalevskaya, see HIBNER-KOBLITZ 1983.

5 On American women in German speaking countries, see SINGER 2003. On the first American female doctoral student at the University of Jena, see Chapter 10 of this book.

6 See Table 1 in TOBIES 2012, p. 6. On the special case of Berlin, see also VOGT 2004, 2007. At the time, Berlin had two institutions of higher education, the University of Berlin, which was founded in 1810, and the Technische Hochschule Berlin-Charlottenburg, which was a technical university. Later, most of the German "Technische Hochschulen" changed their names to "Technische Universitäten" for the sake of international compatibility. Throughout this book, we use the term "technical university" to designate both institutions.

Thesis 2

For a long time, female students who received a doctoral degree in mathematics, physics, or chemistry preferred teaching jobs at secondary schools. Being a teacher was an acceptable profession for young women at the time in most European countries, including Germany. Only a few qualified female specialists, especially those with interdisciplinary training, ventured to apply for positions at industrial laboratories.

When, after extensive debates and struggles, German universities finally opened their doors to female students, the curricula at girls' secondary schools were accordingly reformed and supplemented. The girls' schools were then in need of female teachers, especially of mathematics and the sciences. At the same time, the first female students who had studied regularly at German universities were completing their studies and receiving academic degrees. The job opportunities for them in newly reformed or opened schools were therefore relatively good, at least until the late 1920s. In Germany, moreover, the teaching profession was considered to be quite respectable. Female physicians and female teachers were the first to be welcomed and acknowledged by the German Empire, and thus it is no surprise that the first women scientists to complete their studies sought employment in schools before attempting to find positions in academic or non-academic research institutions. The opportunity to become a teacher offered a degree of security in an otherwise uncertain job market. This was true both for male and female scientists, but such security was especially important for female scientists, whose opportunities were relatively limited.

This thesis is supported by a prosopographical study that was funded by the German Volkswagen Foundation.⁷ In it, we analyzed the careers of more than three thousand male and female students who, from 1902 to 1940, had successfully completed their studies of mathematics and two other scientific disciplines at German universities, and who had also become certified to teach at secondary schools. Of this group, 15.2 percent were women. Approximately twenty percent of our sample of 3,040 teachers had obtained a doctoral degree in mathematics, physics, or another scientific discipline. Interestingly, this same percentage applied to both men and women alike. Teaching examinations required expertise in at least three different disciplines, and the combination of mathematics, physics, and chemistry was the most preferred by both male and female students at the time. Most of these students, including those who received a doctoral degree, became school teachers, typically in secondary schools. Our study also included a detailed analysis of the career paths of those who had completed a doctorate in mathematics from 1907 to 1945. Of these, only 3.6 percent of the men and 3.4 percent of the women went on to work in industry. Although sources concerning

7 See ABELE/NEUNZERT/TOBIES 2004. Surveys of the results are published in English in TOBIES 2011, 2012a.

the history of industrial laboratories are often obscure or lacking, we can assume that these percentages correspond somewhat accurately to the actual situation.

We should add that the *Diplom* degree (which corresponds to a master's degree) in mathematics and physics was not generally offered at German universities before 1942. Its purpose was to shorten the time of university study on account of the war, which the Nazis had just begun. For chemistry, however, the *Diplom* degree was introduced as early as the last third of the nineteenth century, much like the *Diplom-Ingenieur* degree that German technical universities had been offering since the 1870s. The first female engineer to earn a *Diplom* did so in 1913 at the Technical University of Darmstadt; it was such a notable event that the newspapers reported about it.⁸ Because of an abundance of students who studied chemistry, more scientifically trained men and women were able to achieve positions in the chemical industry, at least at first, than in others. On the nature of these positions, see Theses 3 and 5 below and Chapter 7.

A gender-based quantitative study of the careers of physicists has yet to be conducted.⁹ Having studied the career paths of industrial researchers during the first three decades of the twentieth century, we discovered that the total number of male and female researchers with university degrees was relatively small, and thus the number of female researchers with such credentials was even smaller. Our discussion is therefore limited to a small sample of industrial researchers and to the small cluster of laboratories that employed academically trained physicists and mathematicians. This is not only true for Germany but also for the other industrialized countries before the Second World War.

Thesis 3

It was common for female industrial researchers to maintain close relationships between industrial laboratories and academic research institutions.

We would like to underscore the following six issues:

(1) Doctoral candidates were able to work on their theses at non-university laboratories, be it at private or industrial facilities. Chapter 1, for instance, is concerned with women who received doctoral degrees in physics and chemistry at the University of Berlin and yet had conducted their research at private laboratories. Similar examples are discussed in Part II (on the electrical industry), Part III (on the chemical industry), and Part IV (on the optical industry).

8 On August 3, 1913, the *Berliner Illustrierte Zeitung* published a photograph of seven male graduates in engineering together with the female graduate Jovanka Bontschits, who later became a famous architect in Belgrade. For a reproduction of the photograph, see Friederike Lübke, "Fräulein Diplom-Ingenieur," *Die Zeit*, No. 38 (September 12, 2013), p. 74.

9 For an early prosopographical study of American mathematicians, physicists, and chemists, see KEVLES (1979). The latter work, however, was not concerned with drawing comparisons based on gender.

(2) Female scientists were able to make career changes from academic institutions to industrial enterprises, and vice versa. This is the main theme of Chapter 1, which describes women scientists in Germany who, between 1912 and 1945, were able to conduct research at some of the institutes of the Kaiser Wilhelm Society as well as in industrial laboratories.

(3) Women working at academic institutions occasionally did so as outside contractors for industrial firms, as was the case with the physicist Hedwig Kohn and her work at the University of Breslau for the OSRAM firm in Berlin. In Chapter 2, Brenda Winnewisser explains that these connections were not only characterized by cooperation but also by competition. Without the expectation of producing specialized industrial research, women scientists could also hold university positions that were nevertheless funded by industrial corporations. For example, the assistant position at the Institute for Applied Mathematics of the University of Jena, which was given to Dorothea Starke, was financed by the famous Carl-Zeiss Foundation from 1928 to 1931 (see Chapter 10).

(4) On occasion, corporations were interested in paying academic female researchers for the right to use their patents, as happened to the physicist Isolde Hausser (see Chapter 5). Other arrangements were made when a company was interested in applying new research findings. A noteworthy example is that of Margarethe von Wrangell, a chemist who made breakthroughs while working in the cities of Reval (today Tallinn, Estonia) and Hohenheim near Stuttgart (Germany). After the First World War, her research caught the attention of the German agricultural and chemical industries – not to mention government offices – and thus in 1923 she was promoted to full professor and made the chair of her own academic institute. Von Wrangell was the first woman ever to hold such a position (*ordentliche Professorin*) in Germany, which was housed at the Agricultural University in Hohenheim, and in this capacity she advised the chemical industry on the use of phosphoric acid fertilizers and used its financial resources to conduct agricultural experiments.¹⁰ Waltraud Voss has recently cited another example of newly developed instruments being used in industrial manufacturing processes. The physicist Lieselott Herforth, who was working at an institute of the Academy of Sciences in East Berlin, received a contract with industry because of her success in designing radiation monitoring devices. The contract was arranged between the “Laboratory of Dr. Herforth” and the Carl Zeiss Corporation in Jena, which produced the apparatus after the Second World War. Later, as a full professor at the Technical University of Dresden, Herforth signed contracts with other

10 As early as 1921, Margarethe von Wrangell wrote to her mother: “I am now able to earn as much as I need, but I don’t want to take any more than is necessary, even though the industry has given me this opportunity. For, in the end, I want to preserve my freedom as a scientist. [...] I was recently in Ludwigshafen again, and I was able to observe the respect that I enjoy there. Above all, this is probably because I have not taken a penny for myself from this billion-Mark company.” (quoted in ANDRONIKOW 1936, p. 271). The company in question was BASF. Von Wrangell’s institute also received financial support from the Japanese industrial firm Hoshi (see *ibid.*, p. 276). – The Agricultural University in Hohenheim (*Landwirtschaftliche Hochschule*) is now part of the University of Stuttgart.

firms as well, for instance with Vakutronik in Dresden to produce a thermo-luminescence dosimeter, and with the Otto Schön Corporation in Dresden to produce new measurement technology for the field of nuclear physics.¹¹

(5) A long-standing career in industry could lead to a permanent university position. In Germany, at least, such transitions were often determined by political change and for political reasons. To name a few, the following women scientists were appointed professors after working successfully as industrial researchers in Germany and the United States: Edith Clarke, Cäcilie Fröhlich (Cecilie Froehlich), Lieselotte Moenke-Blankenburg, Ruth Moufang, Ruth Proksch, Mina Rees, and Iris Runge.¹²

(6) It was also possible to move in the opposite direction, namely from a long-standing academic position to an industrial laboratory. Furthermore, the example of Ingeborg Ginzler indicates that women, like men, conducted war-related research without any scruples. After earning her doctorate at the Technical College of Dresden, Ginzler worked at the Aerodynamics Laboratory (*Aerodynamische Versuchsanstalt*) in Göttingen until the end of the Second World War. After the Nazi defeat, she – like many male aviation specialists – had to write reports about her research for the Allied Forces, in her case for the British Army occupying Göttingen. She left Germany at the onset of the Cold War, first to work in Great Britain and then in the United States, where she became a senior researcher at the Flight Vehicles Research Department of the Glenn L. Martin Company in Baltimore. This was a classified department in which she was the only woman design specialist among forty men. Ginzler published important articles and was honored for her expertise in rocket design. It was this department, incidentally, that was responsible for producing the bombers that would ultimately drop nuclear bombs on Hiroshima and Nagasaki.¹³

11 Waltraud Voss, a mathematician and historian of mathematics, lectured on this subject at the annual conference of the Society of German Mathematicians (*Deutsche Mathematiker-Vereinigung*), which took place in Jena in May of 2013. The contribution will soon be available in print (VOSS 2014, forthcoming).

12 Each of these women scientists and their career paths will be discussed at various points throughout the book.

13 See DOBBIN 1958; Ingeborg Ginzler, *Theorie des räumlichen Tragflügels* (Göttingen: Aerodynamische Versuchsanstalt, 1946); idem, *Die Luftschaube am Stand* (Göttingen: Aerodynamische Versuchsanstalt, 1946); Ingeborg Ginzler and Hans Multhopp, “Wings with Minimum Drag Due to Lift in Supersonic Flow,” *Journal of the Aerospace Sciences* 27 (1960), pp. 13–20; Ingeborg Ginzler, “Two Remarks on Cones at Angle of Attack in High Supersonic Flow,” *Journal of the Aerospace Sciences* 29 (1962), pp. 497–98; idem, “Bodies of Revolution at Angle of Attack in High Supersonic Flow,” *AIAA Journal* 1 (1963), pp. 484–85. As recently as 2013, researchers from the Martin company paid tribute to the German aviation experts who had been working there since the early 1950s (see http://lockheedmartinshare.blogspot.de/2013_04_01_archive.html).

Thesis 4

Aside from the chemical industry, which hired academically trained experts – male and female – somewhat earlier on, other industries generally did not begin to hire women experts until immediately before and during the First World War. At this time, there was a greater need for scientists because many male scientists were conscripted and because industrial technology had become increasingly important to the war effort. It can be said in general that the positions of women researchers were highly dependent on the prevailing social conditions.

Jeffrey Johnson has noted elsewhere that, before the First World War, a campaign had been introduced to prevent women from working in the chemical industry.¹⁴ During that war and in the 1920s, however, women scientists were needed in the laboratories of chemical and pharmaceutical companies. At the time, of course, there were only a few women who were qualified to contribute to such fields and who became acknowledged for their work. An interesting example is Margarete Raunert, who was employed by a pharmaceutical firm in Leipzig, where she had already directed a chemical department even before earning her doctoral degree in 1929 at the University of Jena. She had studied chemistry at the University of Leipzig and worked as an assistant for the famous professor Max Le Blanc from 1916 to 1920.¹⁵

In Chapter 8, Maria Rentetzi, who has elsewhere investigated the role of women in the radium industry,¹⁶ underscores the marginal role of female scientists at American chemical corporations. Some of them were needed during times of war, after which they were forced to leave their positions. Even the newly established cosmetics industry was largely directed by men. Rentetzi demonstrates that a hallmark of certain outstanding female researchers was their ability to adapt flexibly and quickly to new opportunities.

The role of wars and military research cannot be overestimated.¹⁷ It can be said that women scientists “benefitted” from the wars in question, at least to the extent that such events provided hitherto unavailable career opportunities. Examining women scientists in several branches of industry, we found that they happened to be welcomed more quickly by the corporations founded around the First World War than by older and more established industrial enterprises. Even during the Great War, a number of women researchers, such as Ellen Lax and Isolde Ganswindt (later Hausser), became directors of their own industrial research teams.

14 See JOHNSON 1998.

15 See BISCHOF 2013, pp. xxxiv, 39. On Raunert’s later career, see also JOHNSON 1998, p. 81, which is informed by an interview with Raunert conducted in 1989.

16 See RENTETZI 2004, 2008.

17 For general discussions, see TOBIES 2008, pp. 55–60; VOGT 2000a, 2007, pp. 108–09, 124–25; OLDENZIEL et al. 2000.

Before the Nazi era, science and technology were developing rapidly in Germany, perhaps more so than anywhere else. Many students from abroad were trained and educated at German universities, and foreign researchers travelled to Germany to visit scientific institutions, in part to conduct post-doctoral research. Most of these students and researchers were men, but a number of women also participated in these activities. With the rise of the Nazi regime, however, many female industrial researchers – not to mention many of their male colleagues – were forced to leave Germany and seek positions as elsewhere (see especially Chapter 2 and 6).

During the Second World War, female scientific directors could be found at Telefunken's laboratories in Berlin. Among these were Ilse Müller, who managed a chemical laboratory, and Hildegard Warrentrup, the director of a physical laboratory.¹⁸ During this same time, an example of a woman expert in the German steel industry was the mathematician Ruth Moufang. She had completed her doctorate and her *Habilitation* thesis at the University of Frankfurt am Main, but she could not achieve a paid position as a docent on account of the Nazi policy against women academics. In 1937, she subsequently took a position at the Iron Research Institute in Essen, which belonged to the Krupp steel corporation. After working there for some time, she became a department director in 1942.¹⁹ It was only after 1945 that she was able to secure a teaching position at her alma mater. Similarly, at aviation corporations and in the computer industry, women were granted access to high-level positions during the Second World War because of the absence of men. Melitta Schiller-Stauffenberg, for instance, led her own experimental laboratory for special aircrafts (*Versuchsstelle für Flugsondergeräte*) as late as May 1, 1944.²⁰

Ruth Oldenziel and Karin Zachmann were among the first scholars to approach the history of technology from the perspective of gender studies, and the scope of their investigations extended into the Cold War period.²¹ This same period is at the heart of Chapter 9 of the present book; in particular, it addresses the contributions of women to nuclear research in the Soviet Union and the United States. The author of the chapter, Peter Bussemer, also examined the work of

18 On the women scientists mentioned here, see Chapter 5; on Ilsolde Ganswindt-Hausser, see also Chapter 1.

19 See RADTKE 2005; PIEPER-SEIER 2008. In her autobiography, Hel Braun described the fate of Ruth Moufang during the Nazi era (see BRAUN 1990).

20 On Melitta Schiller, see BRACKE 2005. OECHTERING 2001 discusses women in computer science. On women scientists who were active in military research, see VOGT 2000a, 2007, pp. 258–60, 326–33.

21 See OLDENZIEL/ZACHMANN 1999, 2000, and 2009. On the special case of the mobilization of women engineers in East Germany, see ZACHMANN 2004. In 2013, the annual meeting of the German Society for the History of Medicine, Science, and Technology [*Deutsche Gesellschaft für Geschichte der Medizin, Naturwissenschaft und Technik*] took place in Jena and was devoted to the theme of “Science during the Cold War,” and we benefited considerably from the discussions that took place. The research of one of the keynote speakers, Alexei Kojevnikov, is closely related to the studies presented in this book (see especially KOJEVNIKOV 2004).

German “specialists” employed in the Soviet Union after 1945, and was thus able to uncover remarkable links between Russian and German research. In Chapter 11, Bussemer has examined the interactions between Russian and German developments in the field of applied optics, especially during the time just before and after the Second World War. Two additional chapters are concerned with the Cold War years, both of which provide an analysis of the working conditions for women that prevailed at the Carl Zeiss Corporation in East Germany (see Chapters 12 and 13).

Thesis 5

Patronage relationships were not only crucial for women specialists to reach higher positions at industrial laboratories; they also played a significant role in many other aspects of their careers.

At the time, during which only a few female scientists were able to secure positions in industrial laboratories, patrons exercised considerable influence over women’s careers. Patronage, incidentally, is a rather newly explored field in the history of science.²² At the 24th International Congress of History of Science, Technology, and Medicine a special symposium titled “Mathematics and Patronage” was organized by June Barrow-Green and Reinhard Siegmund-Schultze.²³ The participants discussed patronage relationships as they existed in several countries, at different periods, and in different contexts.

An influential patron to a female engineer in the United States, for example, was George A. Campbell, and not only because of his international training. Before he became an industrial researcher at the American Telephone and Telegraph Company in Boston in 1897, Campbell had studied in Europe under the mathematician Felix Klein, among others, at the University of Göttingen. Even at that time, Klein was known for his support of women students and of their opportunity to pursue doctoral degrees.²⁴ Perhaps Campbell had been influenced by this attitude; back in the United States, in any case, he became an important patron to the aforementioned Edith Clarke. Another example of patronage was the relationship between the aforementioned Margarethe von Wrangell (see Thesis 3 above), Germany’s first female full professor, and Fritz Haber, a Nobel Prize winner. He held her research in high esteem, wrote positive reviews of her articles, and offered her a position as a guest scholar at his Kaiser Wilhelm Institute for Physical Chemistry and Electrochemistry in Berlin. She worked there from 1922 to 1923, and

22 For a general explanation of patronage, see SIMPSON (1988). On the role of patronage in science during the nineteenth century, see TURNER 1976.

23 See also SIEGMUND-SCHULTZE 2001, 2009.

24 In 1895, the American mathematician Mary Frances Winston and the English mathematician Grace Chisholm completed their doctoral degrees under Klein’s direction at the University of Göttingen (see TOBIES 1999).

Haber finally arranged for Margarethe von Wrangell to become a full professor at the Agricultural University in Hohenheim.²⁵

Chapter 5 of this book presents some findings concerning the promotion of women scientists by patrons in the electrical industry. These patrons were directors of industrial research departments who were renowned scientists, engineers, and inventors. They were shrewd enough to evaluate with accuracy the scientific capabilities of women and men alike, though it remains unclear to what extent the gender of scientists might have affected the objectivity of their judgements.²⁶ Chapter 6 describes the eminent career of the German-American applied mathematician Cäcilie Fröhlich, a career in electrical engineering that would have been inconceivable without the patronage of a few important research directors.

Thesis 6

The working conditions for women scientists varied across different sectors of industry; at their most favorable, such scientists were encouraged to produce important research findings and even to apply for patents.

The topic of female inventors, especially in Great Britain and the United States, has been treated in some detail by Ethlie Ann Vare and Greg Ptacek (see their books published in 1988, 1993, and 2002). In Chapter 1, Annette Vogt considers the history of patents for invention from the perspective of female patentees who had worked for some time at one of the institutes of the Kaiser Wilhelm Society in Germany. In Chapter 2, Brenda Winnewisser emphasizes the role of Hedwig Kohn's patent for arc lamps filled with several inert gases, which she developed together with a female doctoral student. Chapter 5, moreover, offers a survey of female researchers in electrical corporations who earned patents in Germany, Great Britain, the United States, and Canada. These patents were occasionally earned together with male colleagues and research directors.

Whereas Jeffrey Johnson has stressed that, in the chemical industry, most women chemists were busy with so-called "women's work" – that is, with administrative or library jobs – we discovered several female researchers at different electrical and communications firms who, as early as the 1920s, were able to acquire patents for their inventions. Patents can tell a great deal about the scientific contributions of female researchers; in general, patent applications serve as an informative primary source for historical investigations.

25 See ANDRONIKOW(-WRANGELL) 1930; ANDRONIKOW 1936, pp. 242, 272, 274; VOGT 2007, pp. 138, 140, 174; VOGT 2008, p. 217–18, and OFFEREINS 2011.

26 On the notion of objectivity, see DASTON 1997, 1998; DASTON/GALISON 2007.

Thesis 7

No particular field of industrial research can be labelled “feminine,” though women scientists with interdisciplinary training did contribute to the introduction of new sectors of industry.

The title of Londa Schiebinger’s book *Frauen forschen anders* can be translated as “women conduct research differently” (the English version of the book is entitled *Has Feminism Changed Science?*). It is appropriate to wonder whether this is truly the case and, if so, why? While it is true that many women were relegated to “assistant positions” (*Hilfestellungen*), in which they had to perform routine tasks,²⁷ it must also be admitted that there were also men who occupied such positions, and that jobs of this sort allowed a number of women to advance their careers.

The cosmetics industry, for example, which was established during the 1930s in the United States and Europe, would seem at first glance to be a principally “female” sector of business. However, Maria Rentetzki investigated the role of women in this industry and offered the following blunt conclusion: “Cosmetic Chemistry: A Field Closed to Women” (see Chapter 8). Although there were a few successful female researchers who contributed to the elevation of cosmetology into a scientific discipline – a highly interdisciplinary process – most of the leading positions were occupied by men.

The application of mathematical methods to the problems faced in industrial laboratories likewise required interdisciplinary training. A new style of thinking, captured in the motto “calculation instead of trial and error,” became an important element of industrial research. We were able to identify calculation departments in the optical and electrical industries as early as the year 1900, but they were all led by men. However, in the newly founded corporations in the communications, aviation, and computer industries, increasingly more mathematically educated women were hired to work alongside male colleagues. On some occasions it happened that only one female researcher determined this new style of thinking within a specific industrial company.

Edith Clarke, who has been mentioned above, was known as a “human computer” at the American Telephone and Telegraph Company (later AT&T), which she joined in 1912. She made calculations for George Campbell, her professional mentor, who applied mathematical methods to the problems of long-distance electrical transmissions. When, in 1925, Western Electric and part of AT&T formed the Bell Telephone Laboratories, the use of mathematical methods and the participation of women researchers increased. Thornton Fry, who held a doctoral degree in mathematics, established the first mathematical research department in the Bell Telephone Laboratories in 1928. Later he explained: “The practical engineer got his mathematics where he could – often through self-education, sometimes by seeking the help of his long-haired colleagues.”²⁸ Claude Shannon,

27 See BRÜGGENTHIES/DICK 2005; OECHTERING 2001.

28 FRY 1964, p. 936.