

Ellen Simon

# Design Thinking in the Automotive Industry

Creativity and Innovation



**Anchor Academic Publishing**

*disseminate knowledge*

**Simon, Ellen: Design Thinking in the Automotive Industry. Creativity and Innovation, Hamburg, Anchor Academic Publishing 2016**

Buch-ISBN: 978-3-96067-056-8

PDF-eBook-ISBN: 978-3-96067-556-3

Druck/Herstellung: Anchor Academic Publishing, Hamburg, 2016

Covermotiv: © pixabay.de

**Bibliografische Information der Deutschen Nationalbibliothek:**

Die Deutsche Nationalbibliothek verzeichnet diese Publikation in der Deutschen Nationalbibliografie; detaillierte bibliografische Daten sind im Internet über <http://dnb.d-nb.de> abrufbar.

**Bibliographical Information of the German National Library:**

The German National Library lists this publication in the German National Bibliography. Detailed bibliographic data can be found at: <http://dnb.d-nb.de>

All rights reserved. This publication may not be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without the prior permission of the publishers.

---

Das Werk einschließlich aller seiner Teile ist urheberrechtlich geschützt. Jede Verwertung außerhalb der Grenzen des Urheberrechtsgesetzes ist ohne Zustimmung des Verlages unzulässig und strafbar. Dies gilt insbesondere für Vervielfältigungen, Übersetzungen, Mikroverfilmungen und die Einspeicherung und Bearbeitung in elektronischen Systemen.

Die Wiedergabe von Gebrauchsnamen, Handelsnamen, Warenbezeichnungen usw. in diesem Werk berechtigt auch ohne besondere Kennzeichnung nicht zu der Annahme, dass solche Namen im Sinne der Warenzeichen- und Markenschutz-Gesetzgebung als frei zu betrachten wären und daher von jedermann benutzt werden dürften.

Die Informationen in diesem Werk wurden mit Sorgfalt erarbeitet. Dennoch können Fehler nicht vollständig ausgeschlossen werden und die Diplomica Verlag GmbH, die Autoren oder Übersetzer übernehmen keine juristische Verantwortung oder irgendeine Haftung für evtl. verbliebene fehlerhafte Angaben und deren Folgen.

Alle Rechte vorbehalten

© Anchor Academic Publishing, Imprint der Diplomica Verlag GmbH  
Hermannstal 119k, 22119 Hamburg  
<http://www.diplomica-verlag.de>, Hamburg 2016  
Printed in Germany

## ABSTRACT

**Purpose:** The purpose of this study is to explore the application of Design Thinking in the automotive industry in order to explain which factors influence the innovativeness of Design Thinking teams.

**Motivation / theoretical framework:** Seeking for innovation leadership, automotive manufacturers apply Design Thinking to enhance their competitiveness. Design Thinking is a multidisciplinary team-based methodology that adopts design principles to business management. Design Thinking teams produce innovative outcomes by working together in stimulating environments. In the literature and practice, however, it is not clear, what constitutes the relationship between the application of Design Thinking and team's innovativeness.

**Design / methodology / approach:** A grounded theory and template analysis approach is used to answer the research question. 15 semi-structured interviews with employees of a car manufacturer deliver practical insights about which factors and in which direction they influence the link. In sum, 14 Design Thinking projects were examined. By a differentiation of highly and less innovative projects, the study provides findings about how the factors differ in these clusters.

**Findings:** A full conceptual model explains influencing factors on a macro, meso and micro level. On a macro level, the organizational environment is a relevant factor for team's innovativeness. In detail, organizational encouragement, supervisory encouragement, freedom and challenging work enhance innovative team outcomes. In contrary, organizational impediments weakens the project's success. With regard to pressure and resources an inverted u-shaped relationship is found. Examining the meso level, team climate, team collaboration and leadership are relevant factors for team's innovativeness. Among all factors, the data analysis reveals that team climate is the most important factor. Moreover, team collaboration includes the positive factors interaction and intra-organizational network as well as the negative factor discrepancy. Transformational leadership is a beneficial leadership style whereas transactional and laissez-faire are described to be detrimental to Design Thinking team's success. At the micro level, intrinsic motivation supports whereas extrinsic motivation reduces team's innovativeness. The data analysis revealed that

supervisory encouragement and freedom were only applicable to highly innovative projects. In contrast, in less innovative projects discrepancy and laissez-faire leadership decreased the innovative capability of the Design Thinking teams.

**Theoretical / practical contribution:** The literature focuses on elements of Design Thinking and how organizations profit from its application. However, literature about influencing factors of Design Thinking team's innovativeness is rare. This study elaborates on this gap. Furthermore, the findings show which factors are especially relevant aiming at radical innovation including a comparison of Design Thinking and other innovation teams (such as R&D and NPD teams). In practice, this study provides managers and decision-makers of multinationals with practical recommendations about how to improve the implementation of Design Thinking.

# TABLE OF CONTENT

- ABSTRACT.....5**
- LIST OF TABLES .....10**
- LIST OF FIGURES.....11**
- COLOR CODING .....12**
- OPENING QUOTATION.....13**
- 1 MOTIVATION.....15**
- 2 INTRODUCTION .....16**
  - 2.1 Research Gap and Question .....16
  - 2.2 Theoretical Contribution.....18
  - 2.3 Practical Contribution .....19
  - 2.4 Structure of the Study.....20
- 3 LITERATURE REVIEW.....21**
  - 3.1 Application of Design Thinking .....21
    - 3.1.1 Evolution of Design Thinking .....21
    - 3.1.2 Definition of Design Thinking .....23
    - 3.1.3 Elements of the Application of Design Thinking.....24
      - 3.1.3.1 Mindset .....24
      - 3.1.3.2 Team Diversity .....25
      - 3.1.3.3 Process .....26
      - 3.1.3.4 Tools .....28
      - 3.1.3.5 Environment.....28
  - 3.2 Innovativeness of Design Thinking Teams .....28
    - 3.2.1 Definition of Team’s Innovativeness .....29
    - 3.2.2 Innovations through the Application of Design Thinking in Organizations.....30
  - 3.3 Influencing Factors .....31
    - 3.3.1 Team Climate .....32
    - 3.3.2 Organizational Environment.....33
    - 3.3.3 Team Collaboration .....34
    - 3.3.4 Leadership.....35

<b>4</b>	<b>METHODOLOGY</b>	<b>36</b>
4.1	Research Paradigm	36
4.2	Research Design	37
4.2.1	Data Collection	37
4.2.2	Participants / Sampling	39
4.2.3	Data Analysis	41
4.2.3.1	Grounded Theory	41
4.2.3.2	Template Analysis	44
4.2.3.3	Reasons to combine Grounded Theory and Template Analysis	45
4.2.3.4	Combination of Grounded Theory and Template Analysis	46
4.3	Credibility of the Methodology	48
<b>5</b>	<b>RESULTS</b>	<b>49</b>
5.1	Application of Design Thinking	49
5.2	Innovativeness of Design Thinking Teams	51
5.3	Influencing Factors	55
5.3.1	Macro Level	56
5.3.2	Meso Level	62
5.3.2.1	Team Climate	63
5.3.2.2	Team Collaboration	66
5.3.2.3	Leadership	68
5.3.3	Micro Level	70
5.4	Differences According to Team's Innovativeness	72
<b>6</b>	<b>DISCUSSION</b>	<b>74</b>
6.1	Application of Design Thinking	74
6.2	Innovativeness of Design Thinking Teams	75
6.3	Influencing Factors	76
6.3.1	Macro Level	76
6.3.2	Meso Level	77
6.3.3	Micro Level	81
6.4	Differences According to Team's Innovativeness	82
<b>7</b>	<b>CONCLUSION</b>	<b>85</b>
7.1	Practical Implications	86
7.2	Limitations	91
7.3	Theoretical Implications and Further Research	93

<b>CLOSING QUOTATION</b> .....	<b>97</b>
<b>REFERENCES</b> .....	<b>99</b>
<b>APPENDIX</b> .....	<b>109</b>
A: Interview Guideline .....	109
B: Initial Template.....	113
C: Revised Template .....	114
D: Final Template .....	116
E: Application of Design Thinking – Concepts and Categories.....	118
F: Application of Design Thinking – Project Overview .....	119
G: Influencing Factors Organizational Environment – Concepts and Categories.....	120
H: Influencing Factors Team Climate – Concepts and Categories .....	121
I: Influencing Factors Team Collaboration – Concepts and Categories .....	122
J: Influencing Factors Leadership – Concepts and Categories.....	123
K: Influencing Factors Motivation – Concepts and Categories .....	124

# LIST OF TABLES

Table 1: Philosophies underpinning research paradigms (Hine & Carson 2007) ..... 37

Table 2: Overview Research Design .....37

Table 3: Sample Overview.....41

Table 4: Team’s Innovativeness – Concepts and Categories.....51

Table 5: Team’s Innovativeness – Project Overview .....54

Table 6: Influencing Factors Organizational Environment – Project Overview .....61

Table 7: Influencing Factors Team Climate – Project Overview .....66

Table 8: Influencing Factors Team Collaboration – Project Overview .....68

Table 9: Influencing Factors Leadership – Project Overview.....70

Table 10: Influencing Factors Motivation – Project Overview .....72



# LIST OF FIGURES

- Figure 1: Timeline of publications about Design Thinking.....23
- Figure 2: The Design Thinking Process (Hasso Plattner Institute 2009).....27
- Figure 3: Theoretical Framework of the study .....31
- Figure 4: Proposed influencing factors of the application of Design Thinking and team’s innovativeness link .....32
- Figure 5: Coding Procedure.....42
- Figure 6: Overview – Grounded Theory.....43
- Figure 7: Overview – Data Analysis.....47
- Figure 8: Full Theoretical Model .....54
- Figure 9: Full Conceptual Model of Influencing Factors.....56
- Figure 10: Influencing Factors Macro Level.....57
- Figure 11: Influencing Factors Meso Level .....63
- Figure 12: Influencing Factors Micro Level .....71

# COLOR CODING

Application of  
Design Thinking

Influencing  
Factors

Team's  
Innovativeness

## OPENING QUOTATION

*Coming together is the beginning.  
Keeping together is progress. Working together is success.*

— Henry Ford



# 1 MOTIVATION

Companies have to introduce innovative products and services in order to stay competitive in a rapidly changing environment (Anderson et al. 2014). However, many organizations struggle to survive (Furukawa 2013; Mas-Verdú et al. 2015). Products that were known to be state-of-the-art become suddenly obsolete by technologies developed by agile startups (Miller & Keoleian 2015). Especially, the automotive industry faces rapid changes in market needs, policies and technologies (Pinkse et al. 2014; Pilkington & Dyerson 2004). New competitors, e.g. Tesla Motors and Google, enter the market and traditional car manufacturers have to react accordingly. As a result, the automotive industry is highly competitive in innovation leadership (Rese et al. 2015). Innovativeness is a critical factor for long-term success in competitive global marketplaces (West & Altink 1996; Allen et al. 2015; van der Panne et al. 2003).

It takes years until new ideas reach series-production readiness in the automotive industry (Zapata & Nieuwenhuis 2010). M. Meyer, Global Head of Automotive at KPMG, emphasizes that “although the automotive industry is undergoing unprecedented change, the relatively long development cycles [of car technologies] mean that some of these new advances may take as much as 5-10 years to evolve” (KPMG 2014). In addition, one major challenge for the industry is the rapidly changing needs of its customers. The automotive industry has to adapt to the fact that customers are digital natives with different expectations than today’s customers.

In order to tackle these challenges car producers have to find a way how they can stay competitive (Ili et al. 2010). Thus, a shifting trend towards a promising innovation strategy can be recognized: The application of Design Thinking in the automotive industry.